

Stretch-twist dynamo torus maps and unsteady flows in compact Riemannian magnetic flux tubes

by

L.C. Garcia de Andrade

Departamento de Física Teórica – IF – Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro-UERJ
Rua São Francisco Xavier, 524
Cep 20550-003, Maracanã, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil
Electronic mail address: garcia@dft.if.uerj.br

Abstract

Earlier Arnold, Zeldovich, Ruzmaikin and Sokoloff [**JETP (1982)**] have computed the eigenvalue of a uniform stretching torus transformation which result on the first Riemann metric solution of the dynamo action problem. Recently some other attempts to obtain Riemann metrics representing dynamo action through conformal maps have been undertaken [**Phys. Plasmas 14 (2007)**]. Earlier, Gilbert [**Proc. Roy. Soc. London A(2003)**] has investigated a more realistic dynamo map solution than the one presented by Arnold et al by producing a shearing of the Arnold's cat map, by eigenvalue problem of a dynamo operator. In this paper, the eigenvalue of the Riemann twisted torus dynamo flow metric is obtained as the ratio between the poloidal and toroidal components of the flow. This result is obtained from the Euler equation. In the twisted torus, the eigenvalue of the Riemann metric is $m_{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$, which is quite close to the value obtained by Arnold. In the case the viscosity Reynolds number $Re \rightarrow \infty$, the torus flow is unstable as one approaches the torus axis. In Arnold's dynamo metric the eigenvalues are $\chi_{\pm} = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ which are very close to the above value. Eigenvalues determine the growth rates of the velocity ratio between poloidal and toroidal components of the flow. The curved flow in torus follow previous work by Chen et al [**Phys Fluids (2006)**]. The α -effect dynamo is shown to be a second-order effect in the torus curvature and velocity flow. Loop dynamo flows and maps are also discussed. PACS numbers: 02.40.Hw:differential geometries.91.25.Cw-dynamo theories.

I Introduction

The investigation of rotating torus flows and their perturbation [1] have been recently linked to the dynamo experiments with liquid sodium and other liquid metals [2, 3]. The incompressible flows, where most of the anti-dynamo theorems are applied [4], can be used as rotational flows in Perm torus [2] and Riga experiment [3]. Therefore a good understanding of the behaviour of these rotating torus flows is of utmost importance in planning these experiments. Alternative dynamo experiments using plasma flows have been recently obtained by Wang and his group [5], which has developed the first flowing magnetic plasma (FMP) experiment, called $P - 24$ in order to detect dynamo action. In this paper a slight variation of the usual Riemann-flat (Riemann curvature zero) torus named a twisted torus [6] filled with a rotating incompressible rotating flow. The incompressibility of the flow is well suited for liquid metals. In this twisted torus, as shown here, the Riemann curvature tensor does not vanishes and for thick tubes the torus is also stretched, a fundamental property for existence of dynamo action [7]. This kind of twisted geometry is very usual in plasma devices such as heliotrons, stellarators and in the astrophysical and solar flux tubes [8]. Previously Mikhailovskii [9], have made use of non-diagonal Riemann metrics to describe such a plasma devices. Here one follows Ricca [8] approach and use a diagonal simpler twisted magnetic flux tube Riemann metric. The first example of a chaotic fast dynamo solution was found by Arnold et al [10] by making use of a compressed and stretched Riemannian metric of the dynamo flow. The domain of the flow is given by a compact Riemannian manifold represented as the product of a torus $\mathbf{T}^2$ and the closed interval $[0, 1]$ of $0 \leq z \leq 1$ [11]. This results in the Arnold Riemann metric

$$ds^2 = e^{-\lambda z} dp^2 + e^{\lambda z} dq^2 + dz^2 \quad (\text{I.1})$$

which represents the stretching and contraction in distinct Euclidean directions p and q , respectively induced by the eigenvalues $\chi_{\pm} = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ also corresponding to magnetic eigenvectors. The stationary dynamo flow considered by Arnold was given by the simple uniformly stretching flow $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, v)$ in (p, q, z) coordinates. More recent attempts to build a dynamo action by making use of compact Riemannian geometry includes the case of the fast dynamo of Chiconne and Latushkin [12], and the conformally stretched fast dynamo by Garcia de Andrade [13].

The rotating torus flow equations are

$$d_t \mathbf{v} = -2\vec{\Omega} \times \mathbf{v} + \nu \Delta \mathbf{v} - \frac{\nabla p}{\rho_0} \quad (\text{I.2})$$

where $\Delta := \nabla^2$. The incompressible flows are described by the solenoidal vector field as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad (\text{I.3})$$

Equation (I.2) is the Navier-Stokes equation for the rotating flow inside the torus, while ν is the viscosity constant. Here

$$d_t = \partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \quad (\text{I.4})$$

Note that the eigenvalue problem in this case is not easy to define since even in the absence of pressure gradients the eigenvalue would be zero and if one tries to use the ABC flow for example

$$\vec{\Omega} = \lambda \mathbf{v} \quad (\text{I.5})$$

the first term on (I.2) vanishes but yet the eigenvalue problem is not helped much. In this paper however, one presents an eigenvalue like problem that solves the differential Euler equation (I.2). The scalar proportionality between the poloidal and toroidal flows modulo the eigenvalue m shows that this eigenvalue is quite similar to the torus eigenvalue obtained by Arnold. Actually from the mathematical point of view these transformations define an automorphism on the torus of the type $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$. This paper is organised as follows. In section II the Euler equation free of viscosity in the background of a twisted torus flow. In this case the radial pressure is unstable closer to the internal torus axis, as happens in some torus plasma instability associated to coronal mass ejection, recently investigated by Toerok and Kliem [14]. The α – *dynamo* effect is also discussed in section III. Section IV addresses the loop filaments dynamo torus twist maps, while discussions and conclusions appear in section V.

II Stretch-twist dynamo maps

Following previous work by Childress and Bayly [13], Gilbert [15] developed applied their stretch-fold-shear (SFS) dynamo map in Arnold's cat map, by performing matrix transformations to his the expression of cat dynamo Jacobian matrix [4]

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{cat}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{II.6})$$

corresponding to the map $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}$. these cat maps with shear, built by Gilbert result on the class of matrices in the form:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{cat-shear}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + K^2 & K \\ K & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{II.7})$$

where K is an integer related to the eigenvalues. In this paper one shows that the torus twist map [6]

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{twist}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{II.8})$$

which is clearly distinct from the chaotic dynamo map matrix (II.6), can be associated to the Ricca's Riemann twisted magnetic flux tube metric

$$dl^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta_R^2 + K^2(r, s) ds^2 \quad (\text{II.9})$$

under the transformation

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{twist}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tau_0 \\ 0 & K(s) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{II.10})$$

which is obtained from the transformation $x = \theta_R$ and $y = s$, which from the twist transformation $\theta(s) := \theta_R - \int \tau(s) ds$, results in matrix (II.10), as long as one considers the helical case where the Frenet torsion $\tau(s) = \tau_0 = \text{constant}$. In the case of thin twisted magnetic flux tube, $K = 1$ and the equation simplifies to

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{thin-tube}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tau_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{II.11})$$

which is still closer with the twist torus map. If one normalizes the torsion $\tau_0 = -1$ the last matrix reduces exactly to twist torus map matrix (II.8). Of course the presence of stretching

factor K in the Riemann metric can be considering as a constant stretch which reduces the eigenvalue matrix to

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{twist}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tau_0 \\ 0 & K_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{II.12})$$

Let $\mathbf{b}$ is the transpose of an initially constant toroidal magnetic field given by

$$\mathbf{b}_{\text{twist}} = (0 \quad 1) \quad (\text{II.13})$$

Within these motivations, in the next section, one shall follow a less mathematical path to help experimental dynamo physicists not very familiar heavy framework of dynamo theory, and compute the solution of the Euler equation with rotation in the case of a homothetic motion between the poloidal and toroidal flow velocities. It is shown that the self-induction magnetic equations eigenvalues imply stretching or contraction of the magnetic flows and growth or decay of the toroidal field with respect of the poloidal field, in either case dynamo action is present.

III Unsteady twisted torus dynamo flows

Let us impose the constraint $d_t \mathbf{v} = 0$ on the Euler equation

$$d_t \mathbf{v} = -2\vec{\Omega} \times \mathbf{v} \quad (\text{III.14})$$

Solutions of these equations yields naturally the ABC flows above. The Euler equation can now be written in the background of the above twisted flux tube Riemann metric, where $\theta(s) := \theta_R - \int \tau(s) ds$ and r_0 is the constant radius of the constant cross-section flux tube, and $K(s) = (1 - r\kappa(s)\cos\theta(s))$. If the tube is thin factor $K(s) \approx 1$ and the gradient operator is given by

$$\nabla = \mathbf{t}K^{-1}\partial_s + \mathbf{e}_\theta\frac{1}{r}\partial_\theta + \mathbf{e}_r\partial_r \quad (\text{III.15})$$

where the Riemannian line element is in general given by

$$dl^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j \quad (\text{III.16})$$

where $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ and $x^j \in \mathbf{R}^3$. To express Euler equations in this metric background one must use the dynamical relations from vector analysis and the theory of curves in the Frenet

frame $(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b})$ are

$$\mathbf{t}' = \kappa \mathbf{n} \quad (\text{III.17})$$

$$\mathbf{n}' = -\kappa \mathbf{t} + \tau \mathbf{b} \quad (\text{III.18})$$

$$\mathbf{b}' = -\tau \mathbf{n} \quad (\text{III.19})$$

The dynamical evolution equations in terms of time yields

$$\dot{\mathbf{t}} = [\kappa' \mathbf{b} - \kappa \tau \mathbf{n}] \quad (\text{III.20})$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{n}} = \kappa \tau \mathbf{t} \quad (\text{III.21})$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{b}} = -\kappa' \mathbf{t} \quad (\text{III.22})$$

along with the flow derivative

$$\dot{\mathbf{t}} = \partial_t \mathbf{t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{t} \quad (\text{III.23})$$

With these mathematical tools in hands now one is able to write down the Euler equations if one expresses the velocity flow in the form totally confined inside the torus as

$$\mathbf{v} = v_s(r) \mathbf{t} + v_\theta(r, s) \mathbf{e}_\theta \quad (\text{III.24})$$

where v_s and v_θ are the toroidal and poloidal flow components. The Euler equations yield

$$\frac{\partial_r p}{\rho_0} = v_s \kappa_0^2 - v_\theta \omega_0 + \frac{2}{r} [v_s - v_\theta] \kappa_0 v_s \quad (\text{III.25})$$

one notes that this first equation is non-linear on velocity flow and κ_0 is the Frenet curvature.

Besides one has considered that the pressure $p = p(r)$. The remaining equations are

$$\frac{2}{r^2} v_s + \frac{1}{r} v_\theta' + v_\theta'' = \gamma v_\theta \quad (\text{III.26})$$

where the dash represents the radial derivatives. The remaining equation is

$$\frac{1}{r} (v_\theta - v_s) + \frac{1}{r} v_s' + v_s'' = \gamma v_s \quad (\text{III.27})$$

where the compact Riemannian operator [17]

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{2}{r^2} \right] \quad (\text{III.28})$$

and the self-induction or dynamo equation can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_m \mathbf{B} = \gamma \mathbf{B} \quad (\text{III.29})$$

To obtain these equations a strong hypothesis simplification of $\theta \ll 1$ of small angle amplitudes is undertaken. Here one also assumes that the poloidal rotation ω_0 inside the torus is constant and $v_\theta = \omega_0 r$. These unsteady flow equations on the twisted torus are easily solved by assuming the eigenvalue like expression

$$v_\theta = m v_s \quad (\text{III.30})$$

where $m \in \mathbf{R}$ and the terms non-linear in the Frenet curvature were dropped. By taking the coordinate substitution $r' = \ln r$ the equations and the compact Riemannian operator can be simplified since

$$\frac{d}{dr'} := \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \quad (\text{III.31})$$

By substituting these constraints on the equations (III.26) and (III.28) yields the equations

$$2v_s + m v_s' + m v_s'' = \gamma m v_s \quad (\text{III.32})$$

where the dash represents the radial derivatives. The remaining equation is

$$(m - 1)v_s + v_s' + v_s'' = \gamma v_s \quad (\text{III.33})$$

Multiplying the last equation by m and subtracting the result from the first equation (III.30) one obtains

$$[2 - m(m - 1)]v_s = 0 \quad (\text{III.34})$$

This equation can be expressed in the eigenvalue matrix form

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{dyn}} = \begin{pmatrix} (2 - m(m - 1)) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{III.35})$$

which yields the simple eigenvalue equation

$$m^2 - m - 1 = 0 \quad (\text{III.36})$$

which, in turn, yields

$$m_{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} \quad (\text{III.37})$$

which is similar to the above Arnold's eigenvalue for the uniform stretching Riemann dynamo metric. Note that if one chooses m_+ the poloidal velocity is higher than the toroidal one while when m_- is chosen the toroidal velocity flow dominates in intensity over the poloidal one. The last constraint on the torus flow equations is the solenoidal vorticity $\vec{\Omega}$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{\Omega} = 0 \quad (\text{III.38})$$

yields

$$v'_s = -\frac{1}{r} \quad (\text{III.39})$$

which yields $v_s = -\ln r$. Substitution of this expression into the pressure equation

$$p(r) = \rho_0[\omega_0^2 r - m\kappa_0(\ln r)^2] \quad (\text{III.40})$$

Note that as $r \rightarrow 0$ this reduces to

$$p(0) = -\rho_0 m\kappa_0(\ln r)^2 \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{III.41})$$

which shows that the pressure "explodes" at the internal torus axis. This shows that the flow is unstable inside the torus in the absence of dissipation. One is lucky enough to understand that in laboratories this is a very unlikely situation since as far as liquid metals and even laminar plasmas are concerned the magnetic and fluid Reynolds numbers are finite and as one shall see in the next section in these cases the flow is stable inside the torus, which turns the task of building a liquid sodium dynamo flow detector in laboratory less difficulty.

Let us now use the computation of the vorticity used above and given by

$$\vec{\Omega} = -\frac{1}{r}[\mathbf{t}\cos\theta - \mathbf{b}\sec\theta]\kappa_0 v_s \quad (\text{III.42})$$

to compute the α -dynamo [16] effect

$$\alpha = \vec{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (\text{III.43})$$

which then yields

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{r}[m - 1]\kappa_0^2 v_s^2 \quad (\text{III.44})$$

which shows clearly that the α effect is a second-order effect in the torus curvature. Substitution of the m values, after a straightforward and simple computation one obtains

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{r} \frac{(1 \pm \sqrt{5})}{2} \kappa_0^2 v_s^2 \quad (\text{III.45})$$

from which one notes that at the torus internal axis the α effect is quite strong enhancing the dynamo effect. Of course the α expression is actually random and this cannot be generally true.

IV Loops in twist torus non-dynamo maps and flows

In this section one shall use the mathematical formalism of the Frenet frame of the first section to build the loop twist torus dynamo filamentary flows using the eigenvalue problem. Let us consider the matrix of the magnetic field in the Frenet frame in the form

$$\mathbf{B} = (B, 0, 0) \quad (\text{IV.46})$$

and the self-induction equation

$$d_t \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \eta \Delta \mathbf{B} \quad (\text{IV.47})$$

By taking the compact Riemannian dynamo operator, without stretching terms as

$$\mathcal{L}_\eta = \eta \nabla^2 - \gamma \quad (\text{IV.48})$$

One shall note that due to the absence of the stretch term one shall have to constraint direction of the rotational flow vorticity in order to have a non-dynamo, since as one shall note from

the computations the Frenet torsion shall vanish and therefore the flow is a planar flow and since incompressibility is assumed, from the Zeldovich anti-dynamo theorem dynamo action shall not be possible. By making use of the Riemannian line element along the filament

$$ds^2_0 = K_0^2 ds^2 \quad (\text{IV.49})$$

This metric can be obtained from the torus or flux tube metric above, by taking the filament or very thin tube approximation of $r \approx 0$. By Taking into account that now the gradient operator is written as

$$\nabla = \mathbf{t} K_0^{-1} \partial_s \quad (\text{IV.50})$$

Substitution of (IV.46) in the form $\mathbf{B} = B\mathbf{t}$ and the gradient operator (IV.49) into equation (IV.47) leads to the matrix form

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{fil}} - \gamma \mathbf{I} = -K_0^{-2} \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\eta}{\gamma} A & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\eta}{\gamma} B + C \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{IV.51})$$

Here $A := K_0 \kappa' \kappa$, $B = \frac{\kappa}{K_0^2}$, $C = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma} v_0$. Where the 3×3 matrix missing term M_{33} equals $\frac{\eta}{\gamma} \tau K_0$ but due to the computations this term vanishes due to the vanishing of torsion. Here $\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the unit matrix. Taking the determinant of the expression (IV.51) one obtains the eigenvalue equation as

$$\text{Det}[\mathbf{M}_{\text{fil}} - \gamma \mathbf{I}] = 0 \quad (\text{IV.52})$$

which implies the algebraic equation

$$\frac{\eta}{\gamma} (BAC) + C + \left(\frac{\eta}{\gamma}\right)^2 BA = 0 \quad (\text{IV.53})$$

which results in

$$\frac{\eta}{\gamma} (B_A C) + C + \left(\frac{\eta}{\gamma}\right)^2 BA = 0 \quad (\text{IV.54})$$

which indicates that either the dynamo is slow, since γ may vanishes when the diffusion η vanishes, or the Frenet curvature is constant. In this last case the dynamo could be fast, however this is an unphysical solution since in turbulent flow for example, a constant filamentary curvature should be unstable and variations in curvatute along the filament would produce again a slow or marginal dynamo. Actually, the vanishing of filament torsion implies that the

flow is planar and since incompressibility condition was used throughout the computations according to Zeldovich anti-dynamo theorem there is no dynamo action at all. Actually this was expected due to the absence of the stretching terms. Some maps as the Baker's maps are non-dynamo maps [18, 19] such as this one one just considered here.

V Conclusions

In this paper the importance of investigation the twisted torus dynamo unsteady flows, in non-dissipative case for the building of the torus dynamo experiments with liquid metals was discussed. In particular it is shown that dynamo flow solutions can be found with special eigenvalue problem. More recent chaotic fast dynamos were obtained by Lau-Finn result which has a growth rate of $\gamma = 0.077$ for $Re_m = \infty$. They also obtain for $Re_m = 1000$ a $\gamma = 0.076$. Growth rates as high as 3 have been found in Perm liquid sodium dynamo torus, as has been computed by Dobler et al [2]. The ideas developed here followed the path of realistic dynamo maps obtained from the Gilbert's shearing generalization of Arnold's cat map. Topology of chaos [20] is a nice subject which deals with torsion and knott theory, writhe numbers to useful in the further investigation of the topics discussed here. In the filamentary case discussed above actually an application of anti-dynamo theorem of Vishik's [21] has been done since slow dynamos in non-stretching flows are obtained.

VI Acknowledgements

:

Thanks go to R. Ricca and Dmitry Sokoloff for helpful discussions on the subject of this paper. Financial supports from Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ) and CNPq (Brazilian Ministry of Science and Technology) are highly appreciated.

References

- [1] Y Lau and J M Finn, Phys Fluids **B5** 374 (1993).
- [2] W. Dobler , P. Frick and R Stepanov, Phys Rev E **67** 053309 (2003).
- [3] A Gailitis et al , Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** 3024 (2001).
- [4] S. Childress, A. Gilbert, **Stretch, Twist and Fold: The Fast Dynamo** (1996),Springer, Berlin.
- [5] Z Wang, V Pariev, C Barnes and D Barnes, Phys Plasmas **9**,5 (2002). Z Wang et al, **Angular momentum Transport and Dynamo Studies in the Flowing Magnetized Plasma Experiment-Los Alamos-Reports-Phys PDOE-2008**.
- [6] R. Sturman, J. M. Ottino and S. Wiggins, **The Mathematical Foundations of Mixing. The Linked Twist Map as a Paradigm in Applications: Micro to Macro,Fluids to solids,(2006)** , Cambridge.
- [7] R. Ricca, Solar Physics **172** (1997),241. L C Garcia de Andrade, Phys Plasmas **13** (2006). L. C. Garcia de Andrade, Non-holonomic dynamo filaments as Arnolds map in Riemannian space, Astronomical notes (2008) in press. L. C. Garcia de Andrade, Covariant solar dynamo models, to appear in Solar physics.
- [8] Wakatani, Heliotron devices (2000) Oxford university press.
- [9] A. B. Mikhailovskii, **Instabilities in a confined Plasma**, IOP (1998).
- [10] V. Arnold, Ya B. Zeldovich, A. Ruzmaikin and D.D. Sokoloff, JETP 81 ,n.6, 2052 (1981). V. Arnold, Appl Math and Mech **36**, 236 (1972).
- [11] V. Arnold and B. Khesin, **Topological methods in Hydrodynamics** (1998) Springer.
- [12] C. Chicone and Yu Latushkin, Evolution Semigroups in Dynamical systems and differential equations, American Mathematical Society, AMS-(1999). C. Chicone and Yu Latushkin, Proc of the American Mathematical Society 125,N. 11,3391 (1997).

- [13] L.C. Garcia de Andrade, Phys. Plasmas **14** (2007).
- [14] Toerok and B. Kliem, Torus Instability, Los Alamos preprint arXives.
- [15] A.D. Gilbert, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **443 A** 585(2003).
- [16] F. Krause and K. Raedler, **Mean-Field Magnetohydrodynamics and Dynamo Theory** (1980), Pergamon Press.
- [17] L.C. Garcia de Andrade, Physica Scripta **13** (2006).
- [18] B Bayly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**(1986) 5800. Bayly and S. Childress, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**(1987) 1573.
- [19] E Ott, **Chaos in Dynamical systems** 2nd Edition (2005) Cambridge.
- [20] R. Gilmore and M. Lefranc, **Topology of Chaos** (2006), Wiley.
- [21] M. Vishik, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **33**, 192 (1988).